

Enhancing Consumer Participation in a Medically Supervised Injecting Centre through Participatory Action Research

Mark Goodhew

Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Health

University of Technology Sydney

July 2019

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Mark Goodhew declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Health at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature of Student:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 30th July 2019

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to the Uniting Sydney Medically Supervised Injecting Centre (MSIC) consumer participants, as this thesis would not have been possible without your voices, wisdom, and enthusiasm. I would also like to thank the MSIC staff participants who generously dedicated their time and energy and the MSIC management team for their unfailing support for this project. I particularly would like to thank MSIC's previous clinical service manager, Jennifer Holmes, for sharing her visions for MSIC's consumer participation, as her ideas sowed the seeds for this study.

I would like to thank Emeritus Professor Jane Stein- Parbury and Professor Angela Dawson for their generous support, encouragement and astute supervision. Jane and Angela's guidance has made my PhD journey a less arduous and more enjoyable experience over the past five years. I would also like to thank my fellow post graduate students for your support, advice and friendship.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge my partner David who also eased my PhD journey. David thank you for your love, support, and understanding. I am fortunate to have you as a life partner.

Dr Campbell Aitken of Express Editing Writing and Research provided professional editing services in accordance with the Institute of Professional Editors' *Guidelines for editing research theses*.

Style notes

‘Single quotation marks’ without italics indicate colloquial phrases used to illustrate a point.

“Double quotation marks” without italics indicate quotations from published material that are cited as such.

‘Single quotation marks’ with italics indicate phrases and comments by study participants that were heard in the interviews and CAG meetings and are therefore not attributed to particular people.

“Double quotation marks” with italics are verbatim quotes, phrases or words from individual participants (consumers and staff members) taken from the satisfaction surveys, my journal, meeting minutes or transcripts of recorded structured interviews or CAG meetings.

Glossary of terms

Consumer refers to a person that uses a health service.

Consumer participation is when a consumer is involved in the planning, delivery or evaluation of health care service delivery.

Provider/Staff member is an individual that provides health care delivery.

Substance dependence is when an individual is physically or psychologically dependent on a psychoactive drug or substance.

Supervised Injecting Facilities are services where people who inject drugs can legally inject under the supervision of professionals to reduce the harms associated with injecting drug use.

Participatory Action Research is a methodology that uses action research cycles to empower marginalised people.

Harm reduction concentrates on practical methods and ideas that can reduce the harms that are associated with drug use.

Abbreviations

AA	Alcoholics Anonymous
AIVL	Australian Injecting & Illicit Drug Users League
AIHW	Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
CAG	Consumer Action Group
HEO	health education officer
MSIC	Uniting Sydney Medically Supervised Injecting Centre
NA	Narcotics Anonymous
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
NSP	Needle and Syringe Program
NSW	New South Wales
NUAA	NSW Users and AIDS Association
NZ	New Zealand
PAR	participatory action research
PWID	People who inject drugs
SIF	supervised injecting facility
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States
WHO	World Health Organization

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Style notes	iv
Glossary of terms	v
Abbreviations.....	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of figures	xviii
List of tables	xix
Abstract.....	xx
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
The origin of the research	1
Injecting drug use.....	2
The global context.....	2
The Australian context.....	2
The consequences of injecting drug use	2
Premature death	2
Physical health.....	3
Psychological health	3
Social marginalisation.....	3
Health systems' responses to drug use.....	4
Influence of policy	4
Australian drug policy.....	5
Drug treatment in Australia	5
Harm reduction	6
Supervised injecting facilities	6

The Uniting Medically Supervised Injecting Centre in Sydney	7
Consumer disempowerment.....	8
Social control	8
Institutional stigma	9
Engaging consumers	9
Research aim and questions	10
Outline of thesis.....	11
CHAPTER TWO: BACKGROUND	13
Consumer participation in health care	13
Historical backdrop.....	13
Philosophical basis: empowerment.....	13
Policy frameworks.....	16
Advantages of consumer participation	17
Consumer participation in mental health services.....	17
Consumer participation in drug treatment and harm reduction services.....	18
Peer education	20
Policy frameworks.....	20
Summary	22
CHAPTER THREE: CONSUMER PARTICIPATION IN DRUG TREATMENT: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW.....	24
Keywords	24
Abstract.....	24
Purpose.....	24
Design.....	24
Findings	24
Practical implications.....	25
Originality/Value	25

Background.....	25
Levels of CP	26
Methods.....	28
Search strategy.....	28
Appraisal of studies	29
Data extraction and synthesis	30
Results	33
Benefits of CP:.....	33
Improved service delivery	33
Increased satisfaction and goal achievement	34
Empowerment.....	34
Facilitators of CP:.....	35
Supportive attitudes of consumers and providers	35
Employment of consumers with lived experience	36
Constraints of CP	36
Perceptions of consumers' capacity	36
Power imbalances between consumers and providers.....	38
Low organisational capacity	39
Discussion	39
Limitations	43
Implication for research.....	43
Conclusion	43
Funding	43
Conflict of interest.....	43
Originality of the research	44
CHAPTER FOUR: METHODS	45
Research aims and questions	45
Theoretical framework: social capital	45
Definitions	46

Levels of social capital	47
Types of social capital.....	48
Social capital and health	48
Study design	50
Participatory action research	50
Philosophy of participatory action research.....	52
Action research cycles	53
Stages of the study	53
Setting	55
Reception area (stage 1).....	55
Injecting area (stage 2)	56
Aftercare and referrals area (stage 3)	56
Participants	57
Selection and exclusion criteria.....	57
Recruitment of participants	58
Ethical considerations.....	59
Voluntary participation and informed consent	59
Respect	59
Confidentiality.....	60
Potential risks	61
Potential benefits.....	61
Procedure.....	62
Data collection	62
Assessment stage	62
2015 MSIC client satisfaction survey	62
Brainstorming exercise at MSIC staff training day	63
Structured interviews	64
Formation of a consumer action group and action stages	64

Evaluation and withdrawal stage	65
2016/17 MSIC client satisfaction survey	65
Structured interviews	66
Withdrawal	67
Data analysis.....	67
Content analysis	67
Preparation stage of content analysis	68
Organising stage of content analysis	70
Reflecting stage of content analysis	72
Template analysis	74
Reflexivity.....	76
Summary	78
CHAPTER FIVE: ASSESSMENT STAGE.....	80
Current participatory activities at MSIC	81
Lower-level activities	81
Consumer consultation	81
Suggestion procedure.....	82
Complaint procedure.....	82
Staff members' views about suggestions and complaints	84
Mid-level activities	85
Suggestions for improved consumer participation.....	86
Consumer representative group.....	86
Consumer-run activities.....	88
Consumer involvement in service management	89
Facilitators and benefits of consumer participation	90
Facilitators	90
Caring staff	90
Stable consumers	91
Interest in enhancing consumer participation	92

Benefits.....	94
For consumers	94
For the service	95
Barriers to consumer participation at MSIC	95
Consumer-related barriers.....	96
Drug dependence, mental health problems and marginalised lifestyles	96
Societal stigma.....	97
Consumers not wanting to participate.....	98
Service-related barriers	98
MSIC's managerial structures.....	98
Staffs' attitudes, disinterest and professional status	100
Confusion about consumer participation	102
Conclusions	102
CHAPTER SIX: FORMATION OF A CONSUMER GROUP	104
The planning of the CAG	105
CAG structure.....	107
Groups' philosophy, main aim, and objectives.....	107
Membership	108
Meeting formalities	109
Group norms/rules	111
Transforming MSIC	113
Issues and ideas.....	113
Ideas the group decided to pursue	116
Promoting the group and sustaining its future.....	117
Reflections on the formation of the CAG	119
Factors that enabled CAG	119
Consumers' enthusiasm	119
Having a voice.....	120
Encouragement and support.....	122
Developing interpersonal skills.....	124
Consulting with consumers	127
Management support.....	128

Factors that constrained the CAG.....	129
Consumers' lifestyles.....	129
Frustration.....	131
Personal views and experiences.....	133
Conclusions	135
CHAPTER SEVEN: ACTION STAGE	137
Cycle one: improving relations with the police	138
Planning.....	138
Issues consumers have with the police	138
Suggestions to reduce searches and improve relations with police	139
Action	140
Exclusion zone	140
Informing management.....	141
Preparing for meeting	141
MSIC ID card	142
Observations and reflections	144
Complexity of relationships.....	144
Seeing each other's humanity	145
Changing communication patterns	145
Hope for effective relationships.....	146
Cycle two: being heard.....	147
Planning.....	148
Consumers' concerns about MSIC's admission criteria and policies.....	148
Issues for submission to statutory review	149
Action	150
Observations and reflections	150
Time constraints	151
Views about MSIC's admission criteria.....	151
Consumers taking action	152
Having a voice is more important than winning.....	153
Cycle three: promoting CAG.....	154
Planning.....	154

The purpose of the t-shirt	154
The t-shirt's design	155
Action	156
Observations and reflections	157
Frustration	157
Feedback	157
Sense of pride and belonging	158
Cycle four: educating peers.....	158
Planning.....	159
Why learn about safer injecting?	159
Providing the education	159
Content of education.....	160
Action	160
Observations and reflections	161
Consumers are knowledgeable	161
Learning new information	162
MSIC rules.....	163
Conclusions	165
CHAPTER EIGHT: EVALUATION AND WITHDRAWAL STAGES.....	166
Structured interviews	167
Participant views about achievements	168
Forming a group	168
Creation of the MSIC police liaison officer	168
Safer injecting workshops and teaching peers to inject.....	169
MSIC statutory review	170
Group influence.....	171
Questioning the biomedical model of care	171
Questioning existing procedure and protocols	172
Altering power differentials	172
Improved relationships between consumers and staff members.....	173
Improved consumer relationships.....	175
Consumers' life improvements	176

Consumers providing harm reduction information.....	178
Provision of consumer voice	179
Group functioning	181
Group dynamics.....	181
Time limitations.....	184
Lifestyle of consumer members	185
Promotion of group and its purpose	188
Group improvement suggestions	189
Expanding consumer participatory opportunities.....	189
Better promotion of the group.....	190
Training for consumers.....	191
Suggestions to improve group dynamics.....	191
2016/17 MSIC client satisfaction survey.....	192
Opportunities for feedback.....	192
MSIC consumer suggestion forms	193
Consumer complaints	194
Awareness of the CAG	194
Interest in joining the CAG	195
Withdrawal from the project.....	196
Conclusions	197
CHAPTER NINE: DISCUSSION.....	199
Introduction.....	199
Facilitating forces	201
Positive attitudes	201
Management support.....	202
Transformed relationships.....	204
Consumer empowerment	205
Constraining forces	206
Negative attitudes	206

Risk focus	206
Biomedical focus	207
Having a voice	207
Changing relationships with law enforcement	208
Critical consciousness.....	209
Building social capital	210
Bonding social capital	211
Linking social capital	212
Promoting lifestyle change	213
Moving from consumer participation to co-production	213
Strengths and limitations of the study	214
Outcome validity	216
Process validity	216
Democratic validity.....	217
Catalytic validity	218
Dialogic validity	218
External validity	219
Recommendations for services	219
Towards co-production.....	219
Strengths-based and supportive approach	220
Reconceptualising the consumer participation ladder.....	221
Further research	221
Conclusion	222
Appendix A: Information for participants	223
Appendix B: Consents.....	225
Appendix C: Consumer Participation Information Sheet	229

Appendix D: CAG Structure and Rules	230
Appendix E: CAG Chairing Guidelines	232
Appendix F: MSIC Statutory Review Submission	233
References	240

List of figures

Figure 1. A model of health care empowerment (adapted from Johnson 2011)	14
Figure 2. Consumer participation in drug treatment (PRISMA) showing selection of publications for review	29
Figure 3. Relationship between PAR and consumer participation	50
Figure 4. Action Research Cycle.....	53
Figure 5. Research process	54
Figure 6. MSIC Layout	56
Figure 7. Organisation of units of meaning in NVIVO	69
Figure 8. Example of how the planning of the CAG's data were categorised	71
Figure 9. 'Assessment' stage of research process	80
Figure 10. 'Formation of a group' stage of research process.....	104
Figure 11. 'Action' stage of the research process.....	137
Figure 12. Cycle one: improving relations with police.....	138
Figure 13. Front and back of MSIC ID Card	143
Figure 14. CAG members and community police officer after meeting.....	147
Figure 15. Cycle Two: being heard	148
Figure 16. Cycle Three: Promoting CAG.....	155
Figure 17. Front of CAG t-shirt.....	156
Figure 18. Back of the CAG t-shirt	157
Figure 19. Cycle Four: educating peers and teaching to inject.....	159
Figure 20. 'Evaluation and withdrawal' stage of research process	166
Figure 21. Forces affecting consumer participation.....	200

List of tables

Table 1. Ladder of consumer participation (Bryant et al. 2008a, 2008b)	19
Table 2. Ladder of Consumer Participation	27
Table 3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria	28
Table 4. Characteristics and findings of studies	31
Table 5. Study design	55
Table 6. Summary of data collection	62
Table 7. Consumer participation questions 2015 MSIC client satisfaction survey	63
Table 8. Consumer participation questions 2016/17 MSIC client satisfaction survey ..	65
Table 9. Analysis of CAG meeting data	68
Table 10. First phase of coding for CAG structure sub categories	72
Table 11: Final subcategories for CAG structure.....	73
Table 12. Initial template for the post structure interviews	75
Table 13. Final template	76
Table 14. CAG's terms of reference.....	105
Table 15. The CAG's issues with the police and proposals for working in partnership	142
Table 16. Goals of Action Research and its relationship with validity criteria (adapted from Anderson & Herr 2015).....	215

Abstract

Consumer participation in health care refers to consumer involvement in decisions regarding the planning, delivery and evaluation of services. Consumer participation has been occurring in drug treatment services for over a decade, but progress has been slow due to poor organisational commitment, negative attitudes and power imbalances between consumers and providers. There are no reported consumer participation studies in harm reduction settings. This study investigated how the process of forming a consumer action group (CAG) influenced consumer participation at the Uniting Sydney Medically Supervised Injecting Centre (MSIC), a service designed to reduce the negative impacts of injecting drug use.

The aim of this study was to investigate how the process of forming a consumer group influenced consumer participation at MSIC. A participatory action research method was employed. The first stage investigated current levels of consumer participation at MSIC and motivation to form a CAG. Data for this stage included a consumer satisfaction survey (n=100), a staff brainstorming exercise (n=36) and structured interviews with consumers (n=12) and providers (n=7). In the second stage, MSIC consumers (n=11) and staff (n=5) developed a CAG. The third stage involved the implementation of the CAG's goals to enhance consumer participation. The fourth stage comprised an evaluation using a consumer satisfaction survey (n=100) and structured interviews with CAG members (n=13) and MSIC staff (n=10), and the process of the author's withdrawal from the study.

There were considerable challenges in establishing a CAG. These included: consumers' marginalised lifestyles, MSIC's biomedical model and negative attitudes of staff. Despite these constraints, there was active interest in developing the CAG. The group successfully implemented strategies to enhance MSIC's consumer participation. The consumer CAG members reported that the group helped them to improve their relationships with each other and staff, reduce drug use, address health problems and consider employment in the drug treatment services. A key factor that facilitated the group's success was the support the consumer members received from MSIC staff.

In line with previous research findings from drug treatment services, this study revealed that consumers' drug use and lifestyles can constrain consumer participation. However, the results also demonstrated that these factors were mediated by the staff's

efforts to focus on the consumers' strengths. Participation not only empowered consumers, but also increased their social capital and prompted them to make positive lifestyle changes. Overall, this study provides evidence that highly marginalised consumers can successfully contribute to service delivery when a strength-based approach is adopted.